

Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP

1776 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006
PHONE 202.719.7000
FAX 202.719.7049

Virginia Office
7925 JONES BRANCH DRIVE
SUITE 6200
McLEAN, VA 22102
PHONE 703.905.2800
FAX 703.905.2820

www.wrf.com

February 24, 2004

Gregory J. Vogt 202.719.3240 gvogt@wrf.com

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary, Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ex Parte Presentation, CC Docket No. 96-45

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, Valor Telecommunications of Texas, L.P. ("Valor") seeks to update the record with respect to its April 11, 2003, *Request for Waiver of Section 54.305* ("Parent Trap Waiver"), and its interrelated November 6, 2003 ex parte presentation in the above-referenced docket.

As you are aware, Valor acquired part of GTE's Texas properties in 2000, and the FCC's Parent Trap Rule caps the amount of high-cost support Valor is eligible to receive annually for those exchanges. As a result, Valor is limited to only \$71,120 per month in high-cost support – an amount that has no nexus to the actual cost to provide service to these properties.

Concurrent with Valor's acquisition of these properties, the FCC recognized that the Parent Trap Rule adversely affects the incentive of rural carriers to invest in acquired exchanges. To address these concerns, the Commission adopted the Safety Valve Mechanism. *See Rural Task Force Order*, ¶ 93. The amount of such support is calculated based on the difference between the expense adjustment from an "index year" and subsequent years.

Valor does not, and will not, qualify for safety valve support because of the idiosyncratic nature of its "index year," which is the first full year after the acquisition - 2001. In that year, Valor had expenditures far in excess of a "normal" representative year of operations due to extraordinary events (weather-related) and state-imposed investment obligations. Because the index year's expenses were so large, Valor is effectively prevented from ever receiving Safety Valve support based on further investments (however large) in subsequent years.

Nonetheless, Valor continues to invest in its properties and seeks additional high-cost support to continue the process of improving and expanding its network. To that end in its April waiver request, Valor sought the amount of high-cost support for which it should be eligible, but for the Parent Trap rule. That waiver would provide Valor with the amount of high-cost support a similarly situated rural carrier would receive. Valor has since recalculated the size of that waiver request, and

Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP

February 24, 2004 Page 2

updates the record accordingly. If the Parent Trap waiver were granted, Valor would receive approximately \$55,300 more per month in high-cost support, or \$126,000 total per month in high-cost support, a correction to the amount suggested in the waiver petition itself.

In November, Valor proposed an alternative to its Parent Trap waiver. Specifically, Valor suggested a modification to the Safety Valve mechanism, switching Valor's index year from the outlier results of 2001 to the adjusted loop cost for annualized 2000. Valor proposed that the 2000 loop cost, not 2001 loop cost, be used because expenses in that year can be segregated more easily between "normal" and "extraordinary." In order to arrive at the adjusted annual loop cost, Valor separated those expenses that are directly linked to anomalous events as described in the November ex parte. Valor has since recalculated and corrected the amount of support expected under this approach. For 2002, Valor would be eligible for approximately \$52,680 in additional support using the adjusted 2000 loop cost, or approximately \$123,800 in total monthly high-cost support. Valor's calculations used to arrive at these figures are attached.¹

Valor urges the Commission to promptly act on its Petition and related requests based upon these updated calculations.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory J. Vøgt

Counsel for Valor Telecommunications of Texas, L.P.

cc: Sharon Webber Paul Garnett Gary D. Seigel

Valor also corrects certain of the inputs used in the November letter. These adjusted figures do not impact the cost per loop for adjusted 2000, \$306.15, which is the same as reported in the November letter. The amount of capital expenditures directly related to the Texarkana ice storms should have been reported as \$1.7 million, not \$1.5 million, and the amount of network operating costs directly related to the commencement of operations should have been reported to be \$7.4 million, not \$6.8 million. The \$1.7 million and \$7.4 million figures were used to determine the \$306.15 in the November calculations, but were mistakenly not updated in the November letter itself.

Valor Texas Safety Valve Calcuation

	Index Year 000 As Filed			ndex Year 00 Adjusted	2004 Payments 2002 Subsequent Year		ayments 2002 bsequent
Expense Adjustment Uncapped		-					
Valor Cost per Loop	\$ 329.93		\$	306.15		\$	371.17
National Average	\$ 259.27		\$	259.27		\$	281.67
% Difference	127%		·	118%		·	132%
> 115%	Yes			Yes			Yes
115% National Average	\$ 298.16		\$	298.16		\$	323.92
Valor over 115% Index	\$ 31.77		\$	7.99		\$	47.25
% Support	10%			10%			10%
Support per Loop	\$ 3.18		\$	0.80		\$	4.72
Working Loops	317,415			317,415			321,258
Total Support	\$ 1,008,412		\$	253,599		\$	1,517,928
Safety Valve Support	per month			per month			
Subsequent Year Support Uncapped	\$ 1,517,928		\$	1,517,928			
Index Year Support Uncapped	\$ (1,008,412)		\$	(253,599)			
Positive Difference	\$ 509,516		\$	1,264,329			
50% of Positive Difference	\$ 254,758	\$21,230	\$	632,165	\$52,680		
Parent Trap Support	\$ 853,440	\$71,120	\$	853,440	\$71,120		
Total Support Possible:	\$ 1,108,198	\$92,350	\$	1,485,605	\$123,800		
Is Total receipts less than amount							
Valor would receive w/o 54.305		Yes			Yes		